



FY10 Budget Proposal-As Signed/Vetoed by the Governor- Sept. 4 Version
Major County Impacts

	County Impacts from HURF Shifts to DPS /1	<i>VETOED</i> County Assist. Fund 10% Reduction /2	Eliminate Summer Youth Employ.	100% Rural RTC Cost Share /3*	Reduce State Share of JP Salaries/4	<i>VETOED</i> County Transfers/5*	AzCPTC/6*	Total
Apache	\$426,075	\$55,004	\$7,019	TBD	\$58,616		\$41,274	\$587,988
Cochise	\$520,125	\$55,004	\$12,851	\$637,202	\$107,463		TBD	\$1,332,645
Coconino	\$594,225	\$55,004	\$12,499	\$464,668	\$65,594		TBD	\$1,191,990
Gila	\$242,250	\$55,004	\$5,287	\$195,281	\$37,682		TBD	\$535,504
Graham	\$153,900	\$55,004	\$3,561	TBD	\$33,495		TBD	\$245,960
Greenlee	\$54,150	\$55,004	\$825	\$136,884	\$22,330		TBD	\$269,193
La Paz	\$240,825	\$55,004	\$1,986	TBD	\$54,429		TBD	\$352,244
Maricopa	\$5,889,525	\$25,298	\$131,250	TBD		\$19,014,600	\$1,276,952	\$26,337,625
Mohave	\$691,125	\$55,004	\$18,974	\$311,344	\$96,298		TBD	\$1,172,745
Navajo	\$507,300	\$55,004	\$10,579	\$79,849	\$99,089		\$33,700	\$785,521
Pima	\$2,438,175	\$25,298	\$206,250	\$170,783	\$192,596	\$2,985,400	\$456,656	\$6,475,158
Pinal	\$937,650	\$55,004	\$32,310	\$409,109	\$138,167		\$142,120	\$1,714,360
Santa Cruz	\$198,075	\$55,004	\$4,375	\$114,070	\$30,704		\$41,274	\$443,502
Yavapai	\$692,550	\$55,004	\$20,954	\$631,518	\$92,111		\$157,523	\$1,649,660
Yuma	\$664,050	\$55,004	\$18,782	\$328,790	\$52,986		TBD	\$1,119,612
	\$14,250,000	\$765,648	\$487,502	\$3,479,497	\$1,081,560	\$22,000,000	\$2,149,499	\$44,213,706

1 HURF impacts result from a \$78 million total sweep of HURF, 19% of which will come from counties (SB 1188, 1R, Pg. 90 Line 13)
 2 Rural counties receive \$550,035 annually from the County Assistance Fund (funded by the Lottery). Urban counties receive \$249,772. (SB 1025, 3S, Pg 38, Line 3) VETOED
 3 Based on FY09 admissions at FY10 rates. RTC costs will vary depending on caseload. (HB 2013, Pg 36, Line 31)
 4 Estimated using a 19.25% state share of JP (Justice of the Peace) salaries, reduced from 38.5%. The chart describes the one year FY10 impact. The permanent statutory ratio is currently 60% county/40% state as in A.R.S. §22-117. (HB 2010, Pg 25, Line 5)
 5 (SB 1025, Pg 39, Line 26)
 6 Requires counties to fund 25% of costs related to housing prisoners in the Arizona Community Protection and Treatment Center (AzCPTC). Based on FY09 admissions at FY10 rates. Actual costs may vary depending on caseload. (HB 2013, Pg 42, Line 23)
 * Allows counties to pay these charges from any revenue source, including special districts.

Vetoed Items in Italics

Policy Changes

DPS Crime Lab (HB 2010, Pg 21, Line 37)

- Funds the DPS Crime Lab using money from defensive driving surcharges

County Criminal Justice Funds--Non-Supplanting Provision (HB 2010, Pg 24, Line 23)

- Temporarily suspends non-supplanting restrictions on certain funds (Alternative Dispute Resolution, Adult Probation Services, and others) to allow counties to receive state funding even if local funding is reduced
- Requires a report on any county funding reduced as per this provision

Adult Intensive Probation Changes (HB 2010, Pg 6, Line 7)

- Allows a probation team to consist of two probation officers, instead of requiring a team to include at least one surveillance officer

Photo Radar Tickets--JPC Exemption (HB 2010, Pg 21, Line 18)

- Temporarily exempts photo radar tickets from Judicial Productivity Credit requirements for FY10

County Furlough Authority (HB 2008, Pg 9, Line 16)

- Allows counties to furlough classified employees to address budget shortfalls

Property Tax Changes (VETOED)

- *Phases the Class 1 assessment to 15% by 2015 for all secondary districts, bonds, and overrides*



Policy Changes (cont.)

Public Benefits and Illegal Aliens (HB 2008, Page 1, Line 4)

- Prohibits local governments from awarding benefits without proof of legal presence. Allows identity to be proven under certain federal standards. Includes a private right of action clause, allowing a suit in court for violation of the policy

Development Fee Restrictions (HB 2008, Page 10, Line 10)

- Prohibits a county from charging development fees related to a school or charter school except for fees for water, sewer, and streets

Jail Enhancement Fund Changes (HB 2010, Pg 23, Line 12-13)

- Directs Jail Enhancement Fund (JEF) money directly to county sheriffs instead of to the Dept. Of Corrections

Water Infrastructure Finance Authority (WIFA) Authorization (HB 2014, Page 14, Line 20)

- Allows a county of any size to enter into a loan repayment agreement with WIFA (if funds are provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act) without electoral approval

Theme Park District Board (HB 2008, Page 31, Line 6)

- Removes members from the county board of supervisors from the theme park district board and adds two public members, among other changes